

# Consultation on the Review and the Revision of Directive 2012/27/EU on Energy Efficiency

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

## Introduction

---

This consultation aims to collect views and suggestions from stakeholders and citizens on the review and the revision of Directive 2012/27/EU on energy efficiency (Energy Efficiency Directive or EED), as partially amended in 2018 (Directive (EU) 2018/2002), foreseen by June 2021[1].

### **Energy Efficiency dimension of the Energy Union and the EED**

Since the beginning, Energy Efficiency targets and policies have been one of the cornerstones of the EU Energy and Climate policy. Energy efficiency is one of the five dimensions of the Energy Union and will continue playing a key role in delivering the 2030 energy and climate framework supported by the governance process under the Governance Regulation[2]. In addition, Energy Efficiency First[3] has become a guiding principle of EU energy policy. To facilitate the operationalization of the principle, the Commission will issue a guidance.

The EED was adopted in 2012 to promote energy efficiency across the EU, to tap the existing energy saving potential with concrete measures, to remove barriers and overcome market failures that impede efficiency in energy supply and use in different sectors in order to achieve the EU headline energy efficiency targets for 2020.

The EED is part of the broader EU energy efficiency policy framework, which brings together other key instruments, such as the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive[4], as amended by Directive (2018/844 /EU) (EPBD), the Energy Labelling Regulation[5] and the Ecodesign Directive[6].

The EED is part of the overall decarbonisation policy framework and is interlinked with other energy and climate policy areas, notably, the Renewable Energy Directive (RED)[7], the EU Emissions Trading System (ETS) Directive[8] and the Effort Sharing Regulation[9] (non-ETS sectors), and security of supply and internal energy market. The EU level energy and climate targets are linked together in the Governance Regulation, which requires Member States to prepare their integrated National Energy and Climate Plans (NECPs) for 2030. In these NECPs Member States set out their national contributions to the EU level targets and policy objectives, and the intended policies and measures to implement them.

The EED was subject to a first, limited revision in 2018[10] as part of the Clean Energy for All Europeans package[11]. This revision sets the EU headline energy efficiency target for 2030 of at least 32.5% and

amended certain provisions[12], including adding a new requirement for a general review of the Directive and a possible, upwards revision of the target[13]. The transposition deadline for the amending Directive (2018/2002) was, in general on 25 June 2020, and, for Articles 9 to 11, on 25 October 2020.

### **The European Green Deal and the increased energy efficiency target for 2030**

The Commission announced in the European Green Deal[14] that it would present an impact-assessed plan to increase the EU's greenhouse gas emission reductions target for 2030 to at least 50% towards 55% in a responsible way. The Commission also committed to “review and propose to revise”, where necessary, the relevant energy legislation by June 2021”, including the EED.

In the impact assessment[15] accompanying the Communication on the Climate Target Plan[16] adopted on 17 September 2020, the Commission examined the effects on the economy, society and environment of reducing emissions by 50% to at least 55% by 2030 (compared to 1990 levels). The assessment also considered the mix of available policy instruments and how each sector of the economy could contribute to these increased targets.

To this end and based on this impact assessment, the Communication on the Climate Target Plan puts forward an emissions reduction target of at least net 55% by 2030 as a balanced, realistic, and prudent pathway to climate neutrality by 2050. It also highlights that, to achieve this level of greenhouse gas emission reductions, there is a need to significantly step up energy efficiency efforts (to 36-37% for final and 39-41% for primary energy consumption) by 2030 from the current headline target of at least 32.5%.

The assessment of Member States' national contributions to the current headline target[17] shows insufficient level of ambition in terms of energy efficiency. The gap is equal to 2.8 percentage points for primary energy consumption and at 3.1 percentage points for final energy consumption.

### **Trends in energy efficiency**

In terms of energy consumption, transport is the sector with the highest energy consumption accounting for 34% of final energy consumption in 2018. It is followed by industry and the residential sectors with both representing 25%, and the services' sector representing 13% of final energy consumption. The remaining sectors including, agriculture, fishing and forestry represent 3% of final energy consumption. Following a gradual decrease between 2007 and 2014, energy consumption has started to increase in recent years, and is now slightly above the linear trajectory for the 2020 targets. This is mainly due to weather variations, notably colder winters in 2015 and 2016, but also increased economic activity, low oil prices and increase in transport. Energy intensity in industry has continued to improve by as much as 22% between 2005 and 2017 and energy savings have indeed helped offset parts of the impact of these increases.

The latest assessment of progress for 2018 shows a decline of 0.6% in primary energy consumption compared to 2017[18], but this pace of reduction is insufficient to meet the EU target in 2020.

To address the growing energy consumption since 2014, the Commission set up a dedicated Task Force in the summer 2018 to mobilise Member States' efforts to reach the EU energy efficiency targets for 2020[19].

Partial and preliminary data for 2020 indicate that the impact on energy consumption of the COVID-19 crisis is significant and, as a result, the 2020 energy efficiency targets may well be met. However, these reductions are not caused by structural changes. Moreover, it was clear before the crisis that the level of

energy efficiency efforts by Member States would not alone be sufficient to reach the 2020 targets. The subsequent recovery from the COVID-19 crisis is expected to lead to a return of energy consumption close to the pre-crisis levels.

Taking the above-mentioned elements into consideration and given the collective ambition gap of the national contributions proposed in the NECPs, the policies in place would have to be significantly increased in order to reach even the current 2030 targets

### **Review and the revision of the EED**

The process will cover two elements:

1. The evaluation of those elements of the EED that were not revised in 2018.
2. The Impact assessment for a revision of the EED in view of meeting the increased 2030 GHG emissions reduction ambition.

Against this background, the Commission shall undertake a two-step process. As a first step, the evaluation will assess the existing framework of the EED since its entry into force in 2012[20], except for those elements already revised in 2018. It will assess whether the provisions are efficient, effective, and coherent with the broader EU legislative framework. It shall assess whether the EED is fit to overcome remaining regulatory and non-regulatory barriers, and market failures, whether there are some shortcomings, gaps and weaknesses for the existing measures or whether additional measures would be needed to deliver on their expected results.

The findings of the evaluation will then offer the basis for what needs to be streamlined, strengthened, added or changed in the EED in order (a) to address the remaining ambition gap to the 2030 EU energy efficiency targets and (b) to deliver the increased EU greenhouse emissions reduction target of at least 55% by 2030. The impact of these policy choices will be thoroughly analysed and the impact assessment will look at the impacts of the entire EED, irrespective of the articles that were revised in 2018.

The questions of this consultation are formulated to respect the requirements of the Better Regulation rules [21] and to support this two-step process of evaluation and impact assessment.

## **About you**

---

### \* Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish

- French
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Irish
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish

\* I am giving my contribution as

- Academic/research institution
- Business association
- Company/business organisation
- Consumer organisation
- EU citizen
- Environmental organisation
- Non-EU citizen
- Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- Public authority
- Trade union
- Other

\* First name

Olympia

\* Surname

Dolla

\* Email (this won't be published)

olympia.dolla@eurima.org

\* Organisation name

*255 character(s) maximum*

Eurima (European Association of Mineral Wool Thermal Insulation Manufacturers)

\* Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

\* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

- |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Afghanistan         | <input type="radio"/> Djibouti           | <input type="radio"/> Libya            | <input type="radio"/> Saint Martin                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Åland Islands       | <input type="radio"/> Dominica           | <input type="radio"/> Liechtenstein    | <input type="radio"/> Saint Pierre and Miquelon        |
| <input type="radio"/> Albania             | <input type="radio"/> Dominican Republic | <input type="radio"/> Lithuania        | <input type="radio"/> Saint Vincent and the Grenadines |
| <input type="radio"/> Algeria             | <input type="radio"/> Ecuador            | <input type="radio"/> Luxembourg       | <input type="radio"/> Samoa                            |
| <input type="radio"/> American Samoa      | <input type="radio"/> Egypt              | <input type="radio"/> Macau            | <input type="radio"/> San Marino                       |
| <input type="radio"/> Andorra             | <input type="radio"/> El Salvador        | <input type="radio"/> Madagascar       | <input type="radio"/> São Tomé and Príncipe            |
| <input type="radio"/> Angola              | <input type="radio"/> Equatorial Guinea  | <input type="radio"/> Malawi           | <input type="radio"/> Saudi Arabia                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Anguilla            | <input type="radio"/> Eritrea            | <input type="radio"/> Malaysia         | <input type="radio"/> Senegal                          |
| <input type="radio"/> Antarctica          | <input type="radio"/> Estonia            | <input type="radio"/> Maldives         | <input type="radio"/> Serbia                           |
| <input type="radio"/> Antigua and Barbuda | <input type="radio"/> Eswatini           | <input type="radio"/> Mali             | <input type="radio"/> Seychelles                       |
| <input type="radio"/> Argentina           | <input type="radio"/> Ethiopia           | <input type="radio"/> Malta            | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Armenia             | <input type="radio"/> Falkland Islands   | <input type="radio"/> Marshall Islands | <input type="radio"/> Singapore                        |

- Aruba
- Australia
- Austria
- Azerbaijan
  
- Bahamas
- Bahrain
  
- Bangladesh
  
- Barbados
- Belarus
- Belgium
- Belize
- Benin
- Bermuda
- Bhutan
  
- Bolivia
- Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Botswana
- Bouvet Island
- Brazil
- British Indian Ocean Territory
- British Virgin Islands
- Brunei
  
- Faroe Islands
- Fiji
- Finland
- France
  
- French Guiana
- French Polynesia
- French Southern and Antarctic Lands
  
- Gabon
- Georgia
- Germany
- Ghana
- Gibraltar
- Greece
- Greenland
  
- Grenada
- Guadeloupe
  
- Guam
  
- Guatemala
- Guernsey
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
  
- Guyana
- Haiti
  
- Martinique
- Mauritania
- Mauritius
- Mayotte
  
- Mexico
- Micronesia
  
- Moldova
  
- Monaco
- Mongolia
- Montenegro
- Montserrat
- Morocco
- Mozambique
- Myanmar /Burma
- Namibia
- Nauru
  
- Nepal
  
- Netherlands
- New Caledonia
- New Zealand
- Nicaragua
  
- Niger
- Nigeria
  
- Sint Maarten
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Solomon Islands
- Somalia
- South Africa
  
- South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
- South Korea
- South Sudan
- Spain
- Sri Lanka
- Sudan
- Suriname
- Svalbard and Jan Mayen
- Sweden
- Switzerland
  
- Syria
  
- Taiwan
- Tajikistan
- Tanzania
- Thailand
  
- The Gambia
- Timor-Leste

- Bulgaria
- Burkina Faso
- Burundi
- Cambodia
- Cameroon
- Canada
- Cape Verde
- Cayman Islands
- Central African Republic
- Chad
- Chile
- China
- Christmas Island
- Clipperton
- Cocos (Keeling) Islands
- Colombia
- Comoros
- Congo
- Cook Islands
- Costa Rica
- Côte d'Ivoire
- Croatia
- Heard Island and McDonald Islands
- Honduras
- Hong Kong
- Hungary
- Iceland
- India
- Indonesia
- Iran
- Iraq
- Ireland
- Isle of Man
- Israel
- Italy
- Jamaica
- Japan
- Jersey
- Jordan
- Kazakhstan
- Kenya
- Kiribati
- Kosovo
- Kuwait
- Niue
- Norfolk Island
- Northern Mariana Islands
- North Korea
- North Macedonia
- Norway
- Oman
- Pakistan
- Palau
- Palestine
- Panama
- Papua New Guinea
- Paraguay
- Peru
- Philippines
- Pitcairn Islands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Puerto Rico
- Qatar
- Réunion
- Romania
- Togo
- Tokelau
- Tonga
- Trinidad and Tobago
- Tunisia
- Turkey
- Turkmenistan
- Turks and Caicos Islands
- Tuvalu
- Uganda
- Ukraine
- United Arab Emirates
- United Kingdom
- United States
- United States Minor Outlying Islands
- Uruguay
- US Virgin Islands
- Uzbekistan
- Vanuatu
- Vatican City
- Venezuela
- Vietnam

- Cuba
- Curaçao
- Cyprus
- Czechia
- Democratic Republic of the Congo
- Denmark
- Kyrgyzstan
- Laos
- Latvia
- Lebanon
- Lesotho
- Liberia
- Russia
- Rwanda
- Saint Barthélemy
- Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha
- Saint Kitts and Nevis
- Saint Lucia
- Wallis and Futuna
- Western Sahara
- Yemen
- Zambia
- Zimbabwe

### Transparency register number

*255 character(s) maximum*

Check if your organisation is on the [transparency register](#). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

### \* What is the scope of your organisation or institution?

- International
- European Union
- National
- Local
- Other (please specify)

### \* Does your organisation or institution primarily deal with energy, climate and/or environmental issues?

- Yes
- No

### \* In which sector / activity? (more choices are possible)

- Energy
- Climate
- Environment



\* Does your organisation or institution primarily deal with OTHER issues than energy, climate and/or environmental issues?

- Yes
- No

\* In which sector / activity? (one choice is possible – please chose the predominant one)

- Water
- Transport
- ICT
- Construction
- Production
- Other (please specify)

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. **For the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, ‘business association, ‘consumer association’, ‘EU citizen’) country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published.** Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

### \* Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

#### **Anonymous**

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

#### **Public**

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

## Part I – Questions of general nature

### 1. Assessing the implementation and the effectiveness of the Energy Efficiency Directive

Although the progress towards the achievement of the 2020 targets is still to be assessed, it is important to assess the effectiveness of the existing EED framework and to see how and to what extent the original objectives were achieved in the context of the proposed higher climate ambition of at least 55% net emissions reduction by 2030.

#### 1.1 To what extent do you agree with the following statement?

“The original objectives of the EED - to increase energy efficiency across the EU and to remove barriers and market failures in energy supply and energy use - are still relevant”?

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
* Please select your answer	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answer:

Energy efficiency continues to be under-implemented. The barriers and failures are multiple, as detailed in this questionnaire. Incrementalism prevails when it comes to acting on energy efficiency. By contrast, the net-zero climate ambition in 2050 requires wholesale change, particularly in the energy performance of existing buildings where the renovation cycle is slow. More ambition and more effective implementation of energy efficiency measures are urgent and, as a complement to the Renovation Wave initiatives, EU legislation in this area needs strengthening. In particular the introduction of Minimum Energy Performance Standards combined with extending the scope of Art. 5 can fill the EU policy gap by stimulating the required volume and depth of renovation. The revision of the EED should introduce such standards for all public buildings, as the flagship of Renovation Wave. They should be set at a level enabling a highly energy-efficient and decarbonized building stock by 2050.

#### 1.2 To what extent has the EED attained its objectives – to increase energy efficiency across the EU and to remove barriers and market failures in energy supply and energy use ?

	Not at all	To a little extent	To some extent	To a moderate extent	To a large extent	No opinion
* Please select your answer	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answer:

The EED has been one of the main legislative tools to trigger energy efficiency improvements across Europe in the building sector. However, its ability to deliver results was undermined by the lack of binding and more ambitious EU and national targets.

**\* 1.2.A Which factors helped the most to achieve the objectives of the EED? (multiple options are possible)**

- Binding nature of the measures of the EED (e.g. Article 5 on exemplary role for public buildings and Article 7 on energy savings obligation, etc.)
- Significant flexibility left to Member States how to achieve various obligations under the EED
- Existence of targets at the EU level
- Requirement to set national targets
- Requirement for planning policies and measures at national level
- Wide scope of the EED covering both the energy supply and demand and targeting different market actors (e.g. energy suppliers and distributors, transmission grid operators, national regulators, enterprises and consumers)
- Strong monitoring and reporting framework at EU level
- Other (please specify)

**\* If you selected 'other', please explain your answer here:**

Energy efficiency is not given enough priority by Member States (MS). The Energy Efficiency First principle and the EED has not been the primary focus, although renovation programmes create jobs alleviate energy poverty, and have other ancillary benefits. MS have directed limited capacity and financial resources to the EED implementation with consequential delays. Also, in order to unleash the full potential of energy savings requirements for MS, loopholes in Art 5 and 7 need to be removed. The scope of Art 5 should be extended to cover all public buildings. All the alternative measures that MS were allowed to use to achieve at least an equivalent amount of savings as through the default approach, need to be removed as they distort the ability of EED to deliver on its objectives for public buildings. The credibility of MS' energy savings under Art 7 needs also to be reinforced and focused on long-term measures to trigger additional end-use energy savings, such as deep renovation.

**1.3 To what extent could the below mentioned positive effects and outcomes (achieved to date) be associated with the EED since its entry into force in 2012? (use a rating scale of 1 to 5, where 1 = to a very little extent and 5 = to a very large extent)**

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
--	---	---	---	---	---	------------

* My country is more committed to energy efficiency	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* There is greater awareness about energy efficiency and its role in achieving the overall climate objectives (i.e. Paris Agreement)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* More developed market of energy services	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Innovative technologies and techniques are more often used	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* Greater availability of funding for energy efficiency investments	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Energy efficiency policies triggered more jobs and growth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Energy efficiency led to an increased security of supply	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Energy efficiency led to lower energy bills	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Energy efficiency reduced energy poverty	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Energy efficiency increased resource efficiency	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

#### 1.4 To what extent could the below mentioned negative effects be associated with the EED?

(use a rating scale of 1 to 5, where 1 = to a very little extent and 5 = to a very large extent)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
* Obligations under the EED led to higher administrative burden besides costs	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Obligations under the EED led to disproportionately higher costs	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Enterprises have lost substantial revenues	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Obligations under the EED led to flawed investment decisions	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Obligations under the EED further complicated existing rules	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Guidance on implementation of the EED from national authorities to enterprises and consumers was unclear	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Obligations under the EED put strain on already limited national administrative resources	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Obligations under the EED led to too diverging implementation across Member States	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* The benefits of the EED were unequally distributed among the population.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**\* 1.5 Which measures stemming from the EED have been the most successful in your country in terms of energy savings and other benefits? (multiple options possible)**

- Energy efficiency obligation schemes introduced to achieve annual energy savings among final customers
- Obligation for public authorities to renovate buildings owned and used by the central government
- Obligation for public authorities to purchase only products, services and buildings with high energy-efficiency performance
- Obligation for large enterprises to carry out regular energy audits to learn about their energy consumption profile and identify energy saving opportunities
- Support provided to small and medium-sized enterprises to carry out energy audits to learn about their energy consumption profile and identify energy saving opportunities
- Measures introduced on awareness raising of energy efficiency and promoting change of consumer behaviour
- Deployment of individual meters and obligation to provide consumers with better and more frequent information about their energy consumption
- Introduction of subsidies, support schemes and fiscal incentives for energy efficiency
- Increased efficiency in energy production/conversion, transmission and distribution
- Introduced measures to address regulatory barriers or split incentives in national legal frameworks or administrative practices
- None of the above
- Other (please specify)

**\* If you selected 'other', please explain your answer here:**

The introduction of target for end-use sectors and public sector obligation were positive elements but the scope was too narrow and allowed for too much flexibility in Article 7 in terms of allowing early tax measures to count towards the end-use saving obligation. Therefore, Article 7 needs to be reinforced to achieve new end-use energy savings and focus on savings with a long lifetime. The scope of Article 5 (and Article 6) should be extended to capture the full potential in public buildings. In addition, so far subsidies and support schemes worked well for promoting energy efficiency for heating systems, such as in Germany, but not for deep renovations. The “Fit for 55” review should be used to remove the loopholes in the EED and capitalize on instruments, such as NextGenerationEU and Recovery and Resilience Facility for supporting effective EED implementation in the building sector at national level.

## 1.6 To what extent has the EED stimulated energy efficiency efforts in the following sectors?

( 1 = to a very little extent and 5 = to a very large extent)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
* Buildings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Heating and cooling	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Industry	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Information and communication technologies (ICT)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* Transport	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* Agriculture	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* Services (i.e. commercial and public)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

## 1.7 To what extent do the following factors represent barriers impeding the energy efficiency improvements across different sectors?

(use a rating scale of 1 to 5, where 1 = to a little extent and 5 = to a very large extent)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
* Lack of clear information among consumers about available energy efficiency measures and support schemes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Split incentives (different interests of owners and tenants or investors and users)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Administrative burden associated with energy efficiency investments	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Regulatory barriers preventing energy efficiency investments	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Lack of awareness among investors of profitability of investments in energy efficiency	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* High transaction costs to finance the energy efficiency measures	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Limited access to capital for households and small and medium-sized enterprises to invest in energy efficiency	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Lack of available skills to make energy efficiency improvements	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Low profitability and return on investment	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Complexity or hassle associated with making energy efficiency investments	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Lack of fiscal measures and incentives including carbon pricing and energy taxation to provide incentives for energy efficiency	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
---	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Please explain your answer (optional):

The main barrier are the varying appreciations of the importance and urgency of energy savings among stakeholders, linked to the absence of binding targets at national and EU level. For example, citizens have limited visibility of the building sector' decarbonisation trajectory, including regulatory requirements that will enter into force in 5, 10 or 15 years , as well as little information regarding what this means for their buildings. Such low visibility, accompanied by support tools like building renovation passports, would be a game-changer in how people perceive their energy efficiency investment and how they can plan renovation ahead. Energy efficiency, when "promoted" by the government, needs to be explained in concrete terms for individuals to take decision.

**1.8 To what extent were the costs associated with the implementation of the EED proportionate to the achieved energy savings and other benefits?**

(please rate 1 to 5, where 1 - disproportionate, 5 - proportionate)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
* Please select your answer	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain, provide further data and information on the costs and benefits associated with the implementation of the EED and specific EED articles.

In considering the costs associated with the implementation of the EED, the Commission should assess also the potential cost of non-action. Investments in energy efficiency measures have also a positive impact on surplus government revenues, as shown also by the research institute Forschungszentrum Jülich's study. According to the study, the collective value for the German economy of the KfW programme was €4-5 for every €1 of programme cost. (Cfr. Kuckshinrichs W., Kronenberg, T. and P. Hansen (2011), Wirkungen der Förderprogramme im Bereich ‚Energieeffizientes Bauen und Sanieren‘ der KfW auf öffentliche Haushalte, Forschungszentrum Jülich, STE Research Report, commissioned by KfW Bankengruppe. Frankfurt am Main, October 2011, <https://www.actu-environnement.com/media/pdf/news-22153-etude-kfw.pdf>).

**\* 1.9 Are there any parts / specific provisions of the EED that are obsolete or have proven inappropriate?**

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

The current EE target has proven insufficient to deliver the needed level of energy savings. The EU target should be made mandatory, with benchmarks and trajectories for each MS similarly to the REDII approach. This seems to be effective at identifying funding needs and solutions.

A lesson from the EED is the need to use an up-to-date discount rate for calculating the energy system costs of different scenarios. Otherwise, investment costs will be overestimated, undermining political support for higher EE ambitions. The 10% discount rate is outdated. Capital costs fell significantly and policies in the EED which are designed to address market barriers should use lower rates, to realistically reflect their expected long-term positive impact. Using an excessively high discount rate would create the false impression that further investments in energy efficiency are not economically attractive.

**\* 1.10 In your view, does the EED have positive synergies with the Effort Sharing Regulation and the Emission Trading System? If yes, what are those?**

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

National efficiency measures implementing the EED are the main instruments MS use to meet their ESR targets. Specifically, the EED's Art 7 obligations require actions at the end-use level, usually targeting the building sector which is one of the main ESR sectors. The reason is the link between the ESR, Renovation wave, the Recovery package, and the EPBD by way of non-market measures. Targets incentivise energy efficiency measures where financial incentives fail. These help reduce energy use, emissions, and shield households from the threat of fuel poverty. Hence there are benefits to maintaining the current EED, EPBD, and ESR architecture. If the building sector is brought under the ETS, we recommend a closed system approach with revenue recycling. Positive synergies between the EED and ETS stem from recycling ETS revenues to foster renovation of the worst performing buildings (already in FR&CZ), especially for low-income households, contributing to the objectives set in the EU RWS.

**\* 1.11 In your view, does the EED have positive synergies with the Renewable Energy Directive? If yes, what are those?**

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

Increased Energy Efficiency and the application of the Energy Efficiency First principle will decrease demand and thus facilitate the maximization of RES, as for the Trias Energetica: Reduce Consumption of Energy, maximise RES, optimize fossil fuels use. Moreover, increased Energy Efficiency allows for more flexibility of the energy systems when it comes to RES use.

\*



### 1.12 In your view, does the EED have positive synergies with the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive? If yes, what are those?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

The EED and EPBD have positive synergies in setting an ambitious regulatory framework to deliver a highly energy efficient building stock by 2050. This implies that the vast majority of existing buildings must undergo deep or staged-deep energy renovation. New and additional savings should be triggered via article 7 and focus on end-use energy savings with long life-time, such as building renovation, that directly benefit citizens and businesses. In order to achieve this goal and deliver on the Fit for 55% ambition, the upcoming revision of the EED and EPBD should promote an acceleration of the energy renovation rates to reach 3% per year, whilst simultaneously increasing the depth of each renovation in line with the Renovation Wave. In this context, Minimum Energy Performance Standards for public and private buildings can be introduced through the revision of the EED and EPBD with a view to achieving the 2050 objective of highly energy-efficiency and decarbonized building stock.

### \* 1.13 To what extent has the EED contributed to an optimisation of the overall energy system (higher system efficiency)?

*1000 character(s) maximum*

As we modernise infrastructures, shift away from a fossil fuel based and dependent society to a decarbonised, decentralised and flexible energy system, highly efficient buildings and industry can also reduce infrastructure investment, bring supply side flexibility through a reduction of the overall base load combined with a reduction in peak load. As such, the promotion of energy efficiency in buildings and industry through the EED has played an important role in the transition and stabilization of the energy system. The right intention is there but the full potential of energy efficiency in buildings and industry has not yet been captured and deployed so far by the energy suppliers.

### \* 1.14 What are the main lessons learned from the implementation of the EED?

*1000 character(s) maximum*

Although the current policy architecture (EU headline target, indicative national contributions and binding measures) has led to improvements, it is still not delivering enough, especially in the building sector. Energy consumption has been growing over the last years, and the 2020 target will unlikely be achieved. Furthermore, the national 2030 energy efficiency national contributions put forward by Member States in their draft national energy and climate plans (NECPs) bring the EU only halfway to its 2030 energy savings target compared to the baseline. In this context, the 2030 target will need to be revised and made binding to get on a path to net-zero emissions and to tap the cost-effective energy efficiency potential of at least 40%.

### \* 1.15 What is missing in the EED?

*1000 character(s) maximum*

National and EU level binding targets: In many countries, the delivered energy savings are insufficient to achieve the national targets. Progress in achieving the 2020 targets has slowed down, partly amid insufficient measures implemented at the national level. National binding EE targets need to be introduced to overcome similar issues for the 2030 target. For the EU EED target to deliver its economic, environmental and societal benefits, it is important to clarify the contribution from the building sector. The scope of Art 5 should cover all public buildings and only count deep renovation towards the EED target. It is essential that the future EU regulatory framework applicable until 2030 and beyond, i.e. the EED and the EPBD, secures the key contribution of building renovation through sectorial targets. Such target should be in line with the Renovation wave target to deeply renovate 35m building units by 2030 and translated into an absolute saving in final energy.

---

## **2. Assessing possible options for revising the Energy Efficiency Directive (EED) in view of contributing to the 55% climate target for 2030 and addressing the ambition gap in the final NECPs**

The impact assessment supporting the 2030 Climate Target Plan concluded that a contribution at the level of 36-37% for final energy consumption and 39-41% for primary energy consumption by 2030 would be required.

Therefore, the Commission has launched the EED revision process. The revision would reflect on the need to increase energy efficiency efforts to match the level of ambition of a higher 2030 climate target and would also aim to strengthen those parts of the EED, which could address the remaining ambition gap for energy efficiency in the NECPs, to ensure the achievement of the current level of the EU energy efficiency target for 2030. In addition, the revision will be vital to contribute to the implementation of the other European Green Deal Initiatives[22]. This is particularly relevant especially in the context of actions identified in the Commission's Recovery Plan[23], which need to be reflected in the national Recovery and Resilience Plans.

The EED revision also offers the important opportunity to address any shortfall in its effectiveness and efficiency. A notable case relates, for instance, to the need for a more consistent application of the Energy Efficiency First principle. Another important area is the need to address any outstanding regulatory and non-regulatory barriers for additional energy savings and emissions reduction throughout all economic sectors.

In this context, the revision of the EED will also have to consider whether the EED sufficiently addresses emerging opportunities and needs for energy efficiency improvements in sectors like ICT sector, as well as agriculture and water.

In addition to the results of the evaluation of the Directive, the impact assessment of the 2030 Climate Target Plan and the Commission assessment of the final NECPs will feed into formulation of policy options to identify which elements of the EED – and to what extent – need to be amended, and what needs to be added to achieve the objectives outlined above.

### **\* 2.1 Do you agree that energy efficiency should play a key role in delivering a higher climate ambition (of at least 55% net) for 2030 and in view of achieving the EU's carbon neutrality by 2050?**

- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

Energy First Principle must be central in the efforts to increase the EU's GHG reduction target for 2030 and meeting climate neutrality by 2050. As such, it should be referenced in the EED. This means the EU's 2030 energy efficiency target must be increased and made binding to tap into the cost-effective potential of at least 55%.

**\* 2.2 Given the suggested increase in energy efficiency efforts by 2030, which instruments of general nature should be considered to achieve the higher energy efficiency ambition? (multiple options possible)**

- Making the “Energy Efficiency First” principle\* a compulsory test in relevant legislative, investment and planning decisions
- Strengthening the EED requirements
- Setting a higher energy efficiency target at EU level for 2030
- Setting energy efficiency targets in specific sectors of the economy
- Stronger focus on implementation and on enforcement of the existing legislation at national and EU level
- Stronger focus on life-cycle efficiency and circularity.
- The EU should provide additional technical support to Member States
- Stronger focus on fiscal measures and incentives including through carbon pricing.
- Stronger focus on awareness raising of energy efficiency and behavioural change
- Other (please specify)

\* Energy Efficiency First (in line with Article 2(18) of the Regulation (EU) 2018/1999), means taking utmost account in energy planning, and in policy and investment decisions, of alternative cost-efficient energy efficiency measures to make energy demand and energy supply more efficient, in particular by means of cost-effective end-use energy savings, demand response initiatives and more efficient conversion, transmission and distribution of energy, whilst still achieving the objectives of those decisions.

**\* If you selected 'other', please specify here:**

Stronger focus on fiscal measures but preferably not the use of market-based incentives such as carbon pricing. The latter can complement energy efficiency support schemes and fiscal measures to deliver energy savings. However, pricing signals alone are not an appropriate tool to promote energy efficiency in buildings, as most barriers are non-economic for the sector. Also, higher energy efficiency ambition for buildings can

be reached using the EU ETS revenues to foster energy efficiency renovation of the worst performing buildings, especially for low-income/energy-poor households (see also question 1.10).

**\* 2.3 Do you agree that the EED should be strengthened by introducing new measures and stricter requirements in the context of a higher energy efficiency ambition for 2030?**

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

The weighted buildings' renovation rate is at a persistent low 1%. Two recent studies by RAP and CE Delft (2020) have shown that the introduction of Minimum Energy Performance Standards can trigger the required volume and depth of renovation. The revision of the EED should introduce such standards for all public buildings to support MS in meeting the increased 2030 energy efficiency objective. Art 8 should be revised to unlock the industry's energy efficiency by including SMEs and to emphasise companies with high energy consumption. The implementation of recommendations stemming from energy audits should be made mandatory, esp. for measures with a short pay-back period. Art 20 should be revised to develop together with the EIB, an EU Renovation Fund using ETS revenues. The fund would support social housing, school and hospital renovations, but also include a specific facility to unlock deep energy efficiency renovation of residential properties.

**\* 2.4 Could the EED be simplified while preserving its objectives and if so, how?**

*1000 character(s) maximum*

No opinion.

**\* 2.5 With the suggested increase in ambition for energy efficiency for 2030, what should the nature of the EU targets be?**

- Indicative
- Binding
- Not specified
- Other (please specify)

**\* 2.6 With the suggested increase in ambition for energy efficiency for 2030, what should the nature of the national targets be?**

- Indicative national targets (to contribute to EU energy efficiency target for 2030)
- Binding national targets
-

Not specified

Other (please specify)

**\* 2.7 In which sectors would additional energy efficiency efforts be most needed to achieve a higher energy efficiency ambition for 2030?** (multiple options possible)

- Buildings
- Heating and cooling
- Industry
- Information and communication technologies (ICT)
- Transport
- Agriculture
- Services (i.e. commercial and public)
- Other (please specify)

Please explain your answer:

## 2.8 Should the following measures be considered to achieve a higher ambition?

(use a rating scale of 1 to 6, where 1 = strongly disagree and 6 = strongly agree)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	No opinion
* Strengthening the renovation obligations for public buildings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Strengthening energy efficiency requirements for public procurement	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Requiring that local authorities (above a certain size) develop an energy efficiency action plan with measurable impact indicators	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Requiring that large enterprises implement certain energy efficiency improvements identified in energy audits	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Requiring that small and medium-sized enterprises are offered free energy audits	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Extending the requirement on frequent consumption information from electricity and thermal energy to also cover gas and roll-out remotely readable gas meters	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

* Establishing sector specific goals or measures addressing sectors for which the energy efficiency potential is higher (e.g. services, data centres, energy-intensive industries)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Strengthening the requirements for efficiency in energy transformation, transmission and distribution	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* Strengthening the requirements for using energy performance contracting in renovation of public buildings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Introducing or extending fiscal measures and incentives, including carbon pricing and energy taxation	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Other (please specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

\* If you selected 'other', please explain here:

Introducing Minimum Energy Performance Standards (MEPS) to upgrade the worst performing buildings including public buildings and get the buildings sector on a trajectory towards climate neutrality and a highly energy efficient building stock by 2050.  
It is important to ensure that energy performance contracting evolves to tackle deeper savings in public buildings.

Please explain your answer:

MEPS set an obligation based on results. They require buildings to meet a minimum performance standard, set for example in terms of an energy rating, by a specified compliance deadline or at a certain moment in the natural life of the building (sale, change in tenure). The standards should be progressively tightened over time in line with the EU's climate and energy objectives. If the timeframe is missed, the building in question could be deemed unsuitable for occupation until renovated up to the required level. Regarding the introduction or extension of fiscal measures, we would recommend stronger focus on fiscal measures but not the use of carbon pricing. Pricing signals alone are not an appropriate tool to promote energy efficiency in buildings, as most barriers are non-economic for the sector (see also question 2.2).

**2.9 Should the following measures in the heating and cooling policy area be considered in order to achieve more effectively the decarbonisation objectives?**

(use a rating scale of 1 to 6, where 1 = strongly disagree and 6 = strongly agree)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	No opinion
* Member States should introduce specific energy efficiency targets for the heating and cooling sector to ensure that energy consumption in this sector is sufficiently taken into account	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Fossil fuels in heating systems (in buildings and district heating) should be gradually phased out with a faster phasing out of the most polluting ones	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Fossil fuel heating system should be banned for new buildings whenever technical feasible	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Member States should unbundle the management of the generation and distribution heat network	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* Allow public support for heating systems only to non-fossil fuel technologies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* The recovery of waste heat from heating and cooling (air-conditioning) systems in individual buildings should be promoted	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* Specific requirements for utilization of waste heat and waste cold should be set for industry and services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Requiring district heating and cooling operators to prepare long-term plans to improve their energy efficiency in terms of primary energy intensity energy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Member States should facilitate local and district approaches to policy and infrastructure planning and development in heating and cooling	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Other (please specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

\* If you selected 'other', please explain here:

Member States should facilitate local and district approaches to policy and infrastructure planning and development in heating and cooling, with a view to facilitate higher and deeper renovation on the market at district level. When designing programmes or schemes for renovation using this approach, it is crucial to encompass all the buildings in a given geographical area, or select all buildings of a certain type. Approaches that speak to all habitants in the same district or city are more successful, because they can be more effective and more impactful (deeper renovations) and create local jobs. This will help to capitalize on economies of scale and will favour new business models for renovation.

Please explain your answer:

## 2.10 Can the following principles ensure overall consistency of energy efficiency and renewable energy as key policies for decarbonisation?

(use a rating scale of 1 to 6, where 1 = strongly disagree and 6 = strongly agree)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	No opinion
*							

Having distinct energy efficiency and renewable targets is the best avenue to decarbonisation.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Member States' progress towards decarbonisation targets should be the primary indicator to assess the renewables and energy efficiency policies and measures.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Member States need to progress on both energy efficiency and renewables to reach their decarbonisation targets.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Non-binding nature of national renewable and energy efficiency targets allows Member States to choose cost-efficient decarbonisation paths.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Energy efficiency policies and measures should be prioritised where fossil-based energy solutions are currently used.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**\* 2.11 How could synergies between the EED and the Renewables Energy Directive be strengthened in the future?**

*1000 character(s) maximum*

The synergies between a more ambitious energy efficiency policy, integration of renewables, and increased flexibility of the energy system, could be further strengthened through a stricter respect of the Trias Energetica: First Reduce Consumption of Energy, then Maximise RES, optimize use of fossil fuel for the rest.

**\* 2.12 How could synergies between the EED and the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive be strengthened in the future?**

*1000 character(s) maximum*

The EPBD helped improve energy efficiency in buildings by setting a long-term vision and a predictable legal framework. The full potential of EED and EPBD can be unleashed by ensuring proper implementation and strengthening and expanding the scope in both directives to actuate NZEB-level by 2050. To reach EU efficiency targets, energy renovation rates must triple and EU and national targets must be set. Proper definition of the EE1 principle would be equally helpful and will help prioritise demand-reduction actions first. This will ensure a coherent approach between the EPBD and EED, which will trigger the potential of LTRS at national level. In particular, all public buildings must become a lighthouse for renovation. The revision should expand its renovation target to all public buildings. Simultaneously, upscaling renovation requires a link between EED target and the contribution of the building sector through the introduction of sectoral a target.

**\* 2.13 How could synergies between the EED and the Emission Trading System (ETS) be strengthened in the future, especially in the context of a possible extension of the ETS?**

*1000 character(s) maximum*

We are not in favour of an inclusion of buildings under the EU ETS; consequences of such a scheme should be carefully assessed. If a separate ETS for buildings was introduced through a system for heating fuels, this may put even more pressure on EED Art 7 ability to deliver more savings towards the new 2030 targets. An



ETS system will have to incentivize renovation and co-exist with regulation on buildings, ESR, and MS' energy saving obligation. The interaction with the EED should be carefully analysed, especially if an obligation is put on fuel suppliers, as this could distract from effective building stock decarbonization measures with EE1 as the guiding principle. The ETS revision should provide the opportunity to channel the auction revenues towards effective climate-proof investments. As such, revenues should be used to foster energy efficiency renovation of the worst performing buildings, addressing energy poverty especially for low-income/energy-poor households.

**\* 2.14 How could synergies between the EED and the Effort Sharing Regulation be strengthened in the future?**

*1000 character(s) maximum*

Given the complementarity between the ESR and EED (see response 1.10) in promoting GHGs reductions in the building sector, synergies could be strengthened by keeping the building sector under ESR while reinforcing in parallel the EED's energy savings obligations under Article 7. Also, the ESR ambition should be increased: we believe that the overall ambition of the ESR should be derived from the cost-effective contribution of effort sharing sectors to overall emission reductions. Energy efficiency represents the most cost-effective way to reduce emissions in the building sector, and it should therefore be incentivized. Reducing energy use in buildings through deep renovation is a crucial factor to reach higher emissions reduction under the ESR.

**\* 2.15 How could EU citizens - and especially young people - be more engaged and contribute to achieving a higher ambition of energy efficiency?**

*1000 character(s) maximum*

On the contribution of the building sector to achieving a higher energy efficiency ambition, citizens must become the central actor in any policy effort to ramp up renovations. Awareness raising campaign should be supported in order to promote multiple benefits that energy renovation of buildings brings, incl. lower energy bills, improved comfort occupants' health. increased real estate value, and local jobs for early labour market entrants. Awareness can be raised through educational modules on the EE1 principle, the sectoral potentials for energy savings, and the multiple benefits, integrated in climate change mitigation and adaptation courses, on middle and higher education level. Since renovation decisions are mostly taken at local level, the active participation of MS/regional and local authorities and consumers is essential in reaching our renovation ambition in the context of the development of the National Recovery and Resilience Plans.

**\* 2.16 The “Energy Efficiency First” principle is established in energy legislation to contribute to a higher energy efficiency ambition. Which measures in your view could be implemented to ensure the principle is consistently applied? (multiple options possible)**

- Providing more information to users on energy efficiency and energy consumption of products and infrastructures, considering their life-cycle.
- Requiring that the “energy efficiency first” principle is applied to all relevant EU energy policies related to the whole energy value chain
-

Requiring that the “energy efficiency first” principle is applied to all relevant national energy policies related to the whole energy value chain

- Developing guidelines on implementation in relevant policy, planning and investment decisions
- Developing mechanisms to monitor implementation of the principle at national level
- Others (please specify)
- None

Please elaborate on your answer:

*1000 character(s) maximum*

**\* 2.17 Is there a need to develop a common methodology on the application of the “Energy Efficiency First” principle in energy networks investment programmes and operation practices?**

- Yes, and it should be developed by the European Commission, ENTSO(-e,-g), national energy regulator, TSO, other
- Yes, and it should be accompanied by an appropriate monitoring mechanism
- No, there are already specific documents and methodology developed on this
- No, this would intrude into the independence of the National Regulatory Authorities
- No, the energy networks in the EU are too diverse to be covered by a common methodology (principle of subsidiarity)
- No, while the case can be made for a common methodology, it would be too cumbersome to implement in practice
- Other (please specify)

\* If you selected 'other', please specify here:

Yes, we support the principle but to be assessed who will be responsible to develop a common methodology on the application of the “Energy Efficiency First” principle in energy networks investment programmes and operation practices.

---

**This is the end of Part I.**

If you wish to contribute on technical aspects of different articles, please continue with part II.

Do you want to continue with part II on the technical aspects of different articles?

- Yes
- No

If you decide to end the survey here, we thank you very much for your valuable contribution.

---

## Part II – Technical questions on specific Articles of the Energy Efficiency Directive

The EED lays down a set of measures aimed to step up Member States' efforts to use energy more efficiently at all stages of the energy chain – from the transformation of energy and its distribution to its final consumption - and those are as follows:

- **Articles 1 & 3 (energy efficiency targets)** sets the EU headline energy efficiency targets for 2020 (of 20%) and for 2030 (of at least 32.5%) and Member States have to set their national indicative targets and indicative contributions in view of achieving those headline targets for 2020 and 2030 respectively. Member States shall report annually on the progress towards their national indicative energy efficiency targets and submit National Energy Efficiency Action Plans ('NEEAPs) every three years, starting from 2014. For the headline EU 2030 target, Member States shall fulfil the planning and reporting obligations under the Governance regulation (set their national contributions towards the EU 2030 target and define the national measures to fulfil those contributions in the National energy and Climate Plans to be submitted to the Commission by end 2019).
- **Article 5 (exemplary role of public bodies' buildings)** requires that Member States renovate 3% (or implement alternative measures resulting in equivalent savings) of their central government buildings of over 500 m<sup>2</sup> which do not meet the cost-optimal energy efficient standards. This threshold dropped to 250 m<sup>2</sup> as of 9 July 2015.
- **Under Article 6 (purchasing by public bodies)** central governments have the obligation to purchase energy efficient products, buildings and vehicles, and Member States should encourage public bodies of local and regional government do so as well. This Article was evaluated in 2016[24], however the findings were not conclusive given that the implementation had just started and it was too early to assess the impact[25].
- **Article 7 (energy saving obligations)** sets an obligation on Member States to achieve new energy savings each year (of 1.5% of the annual energy sales for the period 2014-2020 and of 0.8% (0,24% for Malta and Cyprus) of the final energy consumption for the period 2021-2030) by putting in place an energy efficiency obligations scheme or other

policy measures. Article 7 is responsible for about half of the energy savings the EED is expected to deliver. As mentioned above, this Article was amended as part of the focused EED review in 2016 (amending Directive EU/2018/2002). Under

- **Article 8 (energy audits and energy management systems)** Member States must ensure that large companies have their first energy audit by 5 December 2015 and then every four years. The review of the implementation of the definition of small and medium size enterprises for the purposes of Article 8(4) is carried out in a separate process (in line with the amended Article 24(12)).
- **Articles 9 to 11 (metering and billing)** provide requirements for metering and billing of energy use. As mentioned above, those Articles were already amended as part of the focussed EED review in 2016 (amending Directive EU/2018/2002) by adding new, more precise and specific provisions applicable for thermal energy (heating and cooling)[26]. Electricity related provisions were transferred to the recast Electricity Directive (EU) 2019/944. For an overview and a detailed discussion of the changes made please refer to Commission Recommendation (EU) 2019/1660 of 25 September 2019 on the implementation of the new metering and billing provisions of the Energy Efficiency Directive 2012/27/EU[27].
- **Article 14 (promotion of efficiency in heating and cooling)** requires that Member States promote efficiency in district heating and cooling systems and carry out comprehensive territory-wide assessments of the potential for efficient heating and cooling by 31 December 2015 which should be resubmitted again by 31 December 2020 (on basis of the updated methodology and the amended Annex VIII and part of Annex IX)[28]. It also requires individual cost-benefit analysis to be carried out in the context of the planning and permitting of certain types of installation (thermal electricity generation, industrial installations, district heating and cooling network), in order to assess the potential benefits of high-efficient cogeneration installation or utilising waste heat from nearby industrial installations(Art. 14(5) and 14(7)).
- **Article 15 (energy transformation, transmission and distribution)** requires that Member States ensure that energy efficiency is taken into account in energy transformation, transmission and distribution and contains specific provisions to this end. Certain of these (parts of Art. 15(5) and Art. 15(8)) were removed as part of the focussed revision in 2018 and replaced with consolidation provisions in the new Electricity Market legislation.
- **Article 16 (on qualifications and accreditation schemes for providers of energy services and energy audits)** had a later transposition deadline than the rest of the Directive (31 December 2014) and it is also closely linked to the implementation of Articles 17 and 18.
- **Under Article 17 (information and training)** Member States shall ensure that information on available energy efficiency mechanisms and financial and legal frameworks is widely disseminated to all relevant market actors. The effectiveness of the implementation of this Article was assessed in 2017[29]. The findings of the assessment showed that while most of the Member States have put in place information

and awareness raising measures, it is hard to assess their impact on the uptake of energy efficiency improvements and investments due to lack of robust monitoring results and ex-post evaluations.

- Member States are required to promote the energy services market under **Article 18 (energy services)** with a particular focus put on supporting the public sector including through the use of energy performance contracting. A number of reports to assess progress of energy service markets in the EU including the uptake of the energy performance contracting have been carried out by the JRC in the framework of an administrative arrangement with DG ENER.
- **Article 19 (other measures to promote energy efficiency)** requires the Member States to take action to remove regulatory and non-regulatory barriers to energy efficiency and to report on this to the Commission as part of their first National Energy Efficiency Action Plan (NEEAP). Progress made by Member States in relation to Article 19(1) was assessed on basis of the notified NEEAPs 2014 and 2017 and a report was published in 2019[30].
- **Article 20 (Energy Efficiency National Fund, financing and technical support)** provides that the Member States shall facilitate the establishment of financing facilities and that they may set up an Energy Efficiency National Fund. This Article was amended in the focussed EED review by adding additional requirements for the Member States and the Commission (providing guidance on how to unlock private investments).
- **Article 21 on the conversion factors** set out in Annex IV was amended for the purposes of reviewing the default coefficient - primary energy factor for electricity generation (in footnote 3) and which should be again reviewed by 25 December 2022 (as required by amending Directive EU/2018/2002). Article 24 (review and monitoring of implementation) contains reporting obligations for the Commission (while the reporting obligations for the Member States have been transferred to the Governance Regulation, (EU)2018/1999). This Article thus has been partially amended to ensure the coherence with the Governance framework and the amendments of Articles 3 and 7, and it is thus specifically targeted in this consultation.

### About you - What is your field of expertise?

- Energy policy
- Energy efficiency
- Energy audit and management
- Energy performance of buildings
- Heating and cooling
- Other (please specify)

If you selected 'other', please specify here:

## Article 1 and 3 - Energy efficiency targets

### 3.1 How do you assess the level of ambition of the existing EU energy efficiency targets?

(too high - adequate level - too low)

	Too high	Adequate level	Too low	No opinion
For 2020 targets	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
For 2030 targets	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### 3.2 Could you please give your opinion on the current aspects of the Union's energy efficiency targets for 2020?

(Appropriate – Not appropriate – Difficult to say/ No opinion)

	Appropriate	Not appropriate	Difficult to say	No opinion
The nature of the target is not specified (whether it is binding or indicative)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Indicators used for defining the target: primary or final energy consumption	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Same level of ambition for both primary and final energy consumption	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Definition of the baseline (2007 Reference Scenario projections for 2020)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Clarity of the target	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answer here (optional):

Energy Efficiency target should be defined with the same level of ambition for both primary and final energy consumption and in absolute terms, so no dependent only on the baseline.

### 3.3 Could you please give your opinion on the following aspects of the national energy efficiency targets for 2020?

(Appropriate – Not appropriate – Difficult to say/ No opinion )

	Appropriate	Not appropriate	Difficult to say	No opinion
Approaches for setting national targets are not prescribed - Member States can chose the	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

methodology and indicators for setting their target (s) (primary/ final energy consumption, savings or intensity)				
Indicative nature of national targets (no sanctions for non-compliance)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
No reference values/formula at EU level for assessing the level of national ambition	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
No need to set intermediate milestones/ trajectory to targets	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Possibility to revise the national targets	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answer here (optional):

### 3.4 Has the EED provided the right monitoring and enforcement mechanisms to achieve national energy efficiency targets?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

The credibility of MS' energy savings obligations under Art. 7 of the EED needs to be reinforced. There also needs to be increased transparency and accountability so that reported energy savings are more accurate and consistent across MS. The possibility to count tax measures as energy savings should be removed.

## Article 5 – Exemplary role of central government buildings

### 3.5 Has the EED made central government buildings in your country more energy efficient?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

### 3.6 What are the main factors limiting central government in effective and efficient renovation of its buildings (multiple options possible)?

- Insufficient enforcement of the regulatory framework in my country
- Insufficient national budget earmarked for renovation
- Requirement to renovate can be achieved by alternative measures that are not clearly defined and are hard to monitor
- Requirement to renovate does not apply to rented buildings and central government authorities often rent their buildings
- Other (please specify)

### 3.7 How do you assess the current 3% annual goal on renovation of central government's buildings in line with Article 5?

- The 3% goal is too low and does not go beyond the standard rate of renovation
- The 3% goal is at an adequate level to promote renovation of central government's buildings
- The 3% goal is too high
- Other (please specify)

If you selected 'other', please explain here:

The 3% goal would be adequate in average to promote renovation of central government's buildings. However, the scope of art 5 should be extended and renovation measures should focus on improving the performance of all public buildings in line with the Renovation Wave objective (>60% improvement). Alternative approaches should be deleted from the articles are they don't contribute to the overall objective of decarbonising buildings towards NZEB equivalent level by 2050. The alternative approaches are rather diverting the attention and encouraging lock-in effect.

### 3.8 Given that additional energy efficiency efforts are needed, how could Article 5 be made more effective? (multiple options possible)

- The obligation to renovate public buildings should be extended to regional and local authorities
- The obligation should be extended to include buildings simply occupied by the central government
- The obligation should be extended to include buildings simply occupied by the central, regional and local public authorities
- The obligation should target specific type of public buildings, such as schools and hospitals
-



The required floor area to be renovated each year should be higher than 3% of all public buildings

- The obligation shall require deep renovations in order to reach higher than minimal energy standards
- Minimum energy performance requirements for owned and rented public buildings should be introduced
- Minimum levels of renewable energy use should be introduced
- Public authorities should be required to adopt an energy management system and track buildings performance
- Wider approaches to achieving sustainable built environment (such as circular economy considerations) should be better considered for public buildings renovations
- Other (please specify)

If you selected 'other', please explain here:

Alternative approaches should be deleted from the articles are they don't contribute to the overall objective of decarbonising buildings towards NZEB equivalent level by 2050. The alternative approaches are rather diverting the attention and encouraging lock-in effect.

---

## Article 6 – Purchasing by public bodies

**3.9 Has the requirement for central governments to purchase only products, services and buildings with high energy-efficiency performance helped to develop a market for energy efficiency products and services in your country?**

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

**3.9.A Which are the main factors limiting the effectiveness of the rules on purchasing by public bodies under Article 6?** (multiple options possible)

- The scope is too limited as it applies only to the central government bodies
-

It is too easy to evade the requirement to purchase highly energy efficient products, services or buildings on grounds such as cost-effectiveness, economic feasibility or technical suitability

- There is no obligation to apply Green Public Procurement criteria
- Public authorities lack specific guidelines to improve their purchasing practices
- It is too difficult for public bodies to identify energy efficient products in case they are not regulated under the EU Energy Labelling rules
- Other (please specify)

**3.10 Given that additional energy efficiency efforts are needed, how could Article 6 be made more effective?** (multiple options possible)

- The energy efficiency requirement in public procurement should be extended to all levels of public administration (including to regional and local authorities)
- Requirements on reporting on energy used during the whole lifetime of procured goods and buildings should be gradually introduced
- A mandatory calculation of total cost of ownership shall be introduced for public procurement The references to limiting conditions (e.g. cost-effectiveness, economic feasibility, technical suitability) should be removed
- Other (please specify)

**Article 7 – Energy Savings Obligation**

**3.11 Taking into consideration the required higher energy efficiency efforts for 2030, how do you assess the current level of ambition of Article 7(1) on energy savings obligation?**

(too high - adequate level - too low)

	Too high	Adequate	Too low	No opinion
Please select your answer	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**3.12 What elements of Article 7 should be addressed to ensure the higher level of energy efficiency for 2030** (ranking the measures by using the scale 1-6, 1 – not important and 6 – very important; or No opinion)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	No opinion

Increase the ambition level of energy savings obligation for 2021-2030	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Strengthen the additionality criteria for existing tax measures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Make the EEOS a mandatory instrument in all Member States	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Require Member States to set a certain level of energy savings to be achieved in building renovations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Require Member States to set a certain level of energy savings to be achieved in transport	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Strengthen the monitoring and verification rules	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Require Member States to target specific sectors with policy measures under Article 7	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Set mandatory requirements to implement a specific share of policy measures to alleviate energy poverty	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other (please specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

## Article 8 – Energy audits and energy management systems

**3.13 Current rules oblige enterprises that are not small or medium-sized to carry out every four years an energy audit to learn about their energy consumption profile and identify energy saving opportunities. Should these rules be changed?**

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

The audit obligation should be linked not only to the size but also to the energy consumption of the company. Rules should, therefore, be changed to cover also medium-size enterprises, so that large and medium-size companies can follow the same rules. It should be made mandatory to implement recommendations from audits with a payback time less than 5 years. Mandatory energy audits should also be implemented for larger public buildings.

**3.13.A Would the following option address the shortcomings you have observed**

(select one answer for every option)?

--	--	--	--

Obligation to carry out energy audits should:	I fully agree	I agree	Neutral	I disagree	I fully disagree	No opinion
depend on energy consumption and not size or ownership	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
depend only on size of the enterprise but not on who owns it	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
depend both on energy consumption and on size	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
be made more frequently than every four years	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
be accompanied by an obligation for enterprises to implement certain measures identified in energy audits	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
be accompanied by a requirement to disclose non-sensitive information from energy audits	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
include recommendations for utilising renewable energy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Include recommendations on resource efficiency	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

---

## Articles 9-11 - Metering for gas

**3.14 To what extent has the EED contributed to final customers being informed of actual gas consumption and costs properly and frequently enough to understand what drives their consumption and make informed choices about possible energy saving measures?**

- Contributed to a large extent
- Contributed to some extent
- Did not contribute
- I do not know

Please explain your answer:

The development of smart metering can increase awareness of consumption drivers and able to make better informed choices but still these measures are not sufficient to promote higher energy savings. They would need to be complemented by other measures.

**Article 14 - promotion of efficiency in heating and cooling and related Annexes and definitions**

**3.15 Have the requirements under Article 14 increased energy efficiency in the heating and cooling sector in your country?**

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

**3.16 What was the impact in your country of the requirement to carry out a cost-benefit analysis under Article 14(5) in the following areas**

(please rank: Very high – High – moderate – Low – Very low)

	Very high	High	Moderate	Low	Very low	No opinion
It increased energy efficiency of energy supply	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
It increased energy efficiency of heating and cooling networks	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
High-efficiency cogeneration was more often deployed	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Efficient district heating and cooling was more often deployed	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Increased reuse of waste heat from industry	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
It increased reuse of waste heat from services (including ICT)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

**3.17 Given that additional energy efficiency efforts are needed, how could Article 14 and related Annexes and definitions (Article 2) be made more effective? To what extent do you agree that the following measures should be implemented**

(use a rating scale of 1 to 6, where 1 = strongly disagree and 6 = strongly agree)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	No opinion

Minimum requirements for efficient district heating and cooling should be strengthened;	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Minimum requirements for efficient district heating and cooling should be established separately for networks and generation units;	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Minimum requirements for high-efficiency cogeneration should be strengthened;	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Minimum requirements for high-efficiency cogeneration using fossil fuels should be stricter;	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The Comprehensive assessments in line with Article 14(1) should explicitly cover renewable energy potentials in heating and cooling;	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
The requirement to address the potential identified in the Comprehensive assessments through policies and measures should be strengthened;	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
The requirements for a cost-benefit analysis in line with Article 14(5) should be based on primary energy savings;	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Member States should better ensure that costs and benefits of more efficient heating and cooling supply are taken into account in infrastructure and investment planning and permitting;	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Planning and permitting of infrastructure generating waste heat or cold should take into consideration geographical proximity of a potential demand (heat sink) for this energy;	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Member States should introduce specific energy efficiency indicators for district heating and cooling to ensure that operators improve energy efficiency of their generation and reduce network losses;	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Other (please specify).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**3.18 Which of the following measures would be important to increase energy efficiency of data centres? (select one answer for each option)**

Rules should ensure that:	Very important	Important to some extent	Not important	No opinion
large data centres are encouraged to be located where their waste heat can be used	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
the potential for waste heat reuse is assessed when new data centres apply for planning permissions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

existing provisions to exploit industrial waste heat potential are strengthened



Please explain your answer (optional):

We support tackling EE in data centres.

---

## Article 15 – Energy transformation, transmission and distribution

### 3.19 Do electricity and gas networks (transmission and distribution) operate in the most energy efficient way in your country?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Please explain your answer:

### 3.20 Which are the main factors limiting energy efficiency improvements of the networks in your country? (multiple options possible)

- The regulatory authorities discouraged investments by not accepting the investment in the Regulatory Asset Base;
- Financing for investments is not easily available;
- The tariff structure is not conducive to the minimization of energy losses in the grids;
- The capital expenditure would result in an unacceptable increase of network tariffs for the final consumers;
- The efforts needed to upgrade the physical infrastructure of the grid would disturb households;
- The authorisation of permits is too long;
- The environmental impact of upgrading the infrastructure would be larger than that of the energy wasted in the grids;
- Other (please specify)

If you selected 'other', please explain here:

No opinion

## Article 16 – Availability of qualification, accreditation and certification schemes

### 3.21 Are you aware of the certification schemes, accreditation schemes and equivalent qualification schemes for providers of energy services, energy audits, energy managers and installers available in your country?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

Yes, as an industry we contribute to develop certification schemes for both buildings and industrial insulation.

### 3.21.A What are the benefits of having the certification and/or accreditation schemes in your country? (multiple options possible)

- It allows ensuring the availability of skills (e.g. providers of energy services, energy auditors, energy managers and installers etc.);
- Allows ensuring quality of energy services offered by energy service providers including energy services companies (ESCOs);
- Increases confidence in the energy services sector;
- Facilitates the development of energy services markets;
- Other (please specify).

If you selected 'other', please explain here:

Both of the points below are considered as benefits:  
- Allows ensuring quality of energy services offered by energy service providers including energy services companies (ESCOs);  
- Increases confidence in the energy services sector;

### 3.22 How you would assess the effectiveness of the existing certification and/or accreditation schemes in your country?

	Effective	Effective to some extent	Not effective	I do not know/ no opinion
Please select your answer	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answer:



**3.23 In your view, has the EED (Article 16) contributed to setting up the certification and/or accreditation schemes and/or equivalent qualification schemes, including training programmes?**

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

---

**Article 18 – Energy services**

**3.24 Have the requirements under Article 18 contributed to the development of energy services market in your country?**

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

**3.25 What possible elements should be considered as part of the EED revision to improve the functioning of energy services and energy performance contracting?**

- Introduction of reporting requirements for Member States on the certified energy services providers, number of energy performance contracts concluded in the public sector etc.;
- Introduction of requirements for independent monitoring and verification of energy performance contracts;
- Strengthening of requirements on independent market intermediaries /facilitators/ one-stop shops to increase trust and facilitate the use of energy services/ energy performance contracting;
- Other option(s). (please specify)

If you selected 'other', please explain here:

Yes, it should be linked to deep renovation in line with the Renovation Wave ambition. To date, energy performance contracting does not deliver deep enough renovation. It is an important matter given their role in scaling up renovation in segments like schools or healthcare buildings.

## Article 19 – Other measures to promote energy efficiency

### 3.26 How do you perceive the existence of regulatory, legal or administrative barriers to energy efficiency in the following areas:

	Very significant	Somewhat significant	Not significant	No opinion
Split incentives between the owner and the tenant (s) of a building	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Split incentives between owners in multi-owner properties	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Investments in energy efficiency by individual public bodies prevented due to national or regional rules on public purchasing annual budgeting or accounting	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answer:

## Article 20 – Energy Efficiency National Fund, financing and technical support

### 3.27 Has Article 20 facilitated access to finance for energy efficiency projects in your country?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

Yes but it is no transparent if the financing is coming due to application of this article.

### 3.28 What was the impact of Article 20 in your country in the following areas?

					No opinion/
		Low	Moderate	High	

	Very low				Very high	difficult to assess
Setting up an Energy Efficiency National Fund or a similar national financial support scheme for energy efficiency in households	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Setting up specific financing facilities for increasing energy efficiency in different sectors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Setting up specific technical support schemes for increasing energy efficiency in different sectors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Dissemination of best practice in the field of financing energy efficiency	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Using revenues from annual emission allocations under Decision No 406/2009 /EC for the development of innovative financing mechanisms for improving the energy performance of buildings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

## Article 21 – Conversion factors and Annex IV

### 3.29 Should Annex IV on “Energy content of selected fuels for end use” be revised? If so, how?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

### 3.30 In your view, how could the default Primary Energy Factor (the coefficient referred to in footnote (3) of Annex IV) facilitate decarbonisation?

*1000 character(s) maximum*

In the context of the EED review, reduction of energy demand by energy efficiency improvements of buildings and industry applying the Energy Efficiency First approach should be prioritized over the fuel switching as the main driver for decarbonisation.

This is the end of the survey. Thank you very much for your valuable contribution.

## References

- [1] The Roadmap and Inception Impact Assessment was published on 3 August and was made available for public feedback until 21 September 2020: <https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/have-your-say/initiatives/12552-EU-energy-efficiency-directive-EED-evaluation-and-review>
- [2] Regulation (EU) 2018/1999
- [3] Definition provided in Article 18(2) of the Regulation, EU(2018)1999 on the Governance of the Energy Union and Climate Action
- [4] Directive 2010/31/EU
- [5] Regulation (EU) 2017/1369
- [6] Directive 2009/125/EC
- [7] Directive (EU) 2018/2001
- [8] Directive 96/61/EC
- [9] Regulation (EU) 2018/842
- [10] Amending Directive (EU) 2018/2002
- [11] <https://ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/energy-strategy-and-energy-union/clean-energy-all-europeans>
- [12] Articles 1&3 on headline energy efficiency targets, Art 7 on energy saving obligations, 9-11 on metering and billing, 15(2), 20, 22-24, footnote 3 in Annex IV, Annex V, a new Annex VIIa, Annex IX
- [13] Cf. Article 24(15) and Article 3(6) of the revised EED
- [14] COM(2019) 640 final
- [15] COM (2020) 562 final
- [16] COM(2020) 562 final
- [17] COM/2020/564 final
- [18] COM(2020) 954 final
- [19] A report from the Task Force is available here: [https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/report\\_of\\_the\\_work\\_of\\_task\\_force\\_mobilising\\_efforts\\_to\\_reach\\_eu\\_ee\\_targets\\_for\\_2020.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/report_of_the_work_of_task_force_mobilising_efforts_to_reach_eu_ee_targets_for_2020.pdf)
- [20] Article 24(15) of the EED requires to carry out a general evaluation by 28 February 2024.
- [21] See <https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/better-regulation-guidelines-evaluation-fitness-checks.pdf>
- [22] Notably – but not limited to – the Renovation Wave initiative (COM(2020) 632), given that a significant share of energy and resource savings are expected to come from renovation of buildings, the EU Strategy for Energy System Integration (COM(2020) 299 final), the Digital Strategy (COM(2018) 7118 final), the forthcoming Zero Pollution Action Plan and new Circular Economy Action Plan (COM(2020) 98 final). Energy efficiency is relevant especially in the context of actions identified in the Commission's Recovery Plan[1], which need to be reflected in the national Recovery and Resilience Plans.
- [23] COM(2020) 456 final
- [24] SWD(2016) 402 final
- [25] See [https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/documents/3\\_en\\_autre\\_document\\_travail\\_service\\_part1\\_v3.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/documents/3_en_autre_document_travail_service_part1_v3.pdf)
- [26] While removing thermal energy from the original provisions thereby restricting their scope to electricity and gas. Subsequently also electricity has been removed from their scope and instead regulated under the provisions of the recast Electricity Directive (EU) 2019/944: [https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L\\_.2019.158.01.0125.01.ENG&toc=OJ:L:2019:158:TOC](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L_.2019.158.01.0125.01.ENG&toc=OJ:L:2019:158:TOC)
- [27] See e.g. section 1.1. and 1.3 of the annex: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1574946822907&uri=CELEX:32019H1660>
- [28] C(2019) 6625 final
- [29] [https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/final\\_report\\_of\\_assessment\\_of\\_the\\_implementation\\_status\\_and\\_effectiveness.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/final_report_of_assessment_of_the_implementation_status_and_effectiveness.pdf)
- [30] [https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC115314/assessement\\_of\\_progress\\_made\\_by\\_member\\_states\\_in\\_relation\\_to\\_article\\_19\\_final.pdf](https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC115314/assessement_of_progress_made_by_member_states_in_relation_to_article_19_final.pdf)

## Contact

ENER-EED-CONSULTATION@ec.europa.eu